# The Nationalist

THE VOICE OF
DEMOCRATIC NATIONALISM

Vol. 3, No. 6 June 1987 50¢


"Truth is the most powerful propaganda." — J.F.C. Fuller

# Senseless Strategy Killed the Stark

On May 17th in the Persian Gulf, the American frigate USS Stark was struck and hit by two Exocet missiles fired from an Iraqi airplane about 12 miles away from it. One of the two Exocets exploded killing 37 sailors and disabling the ship. The other rocket was found in the warship and was defused. The apparently accidental attack gives rise to both strategic and tactical questions.

Why do we have warships sailing in a warzone when we are supposedly neutral? The answer from the Reagan Administration is that we are there so that Iran will not cut off the shipping of oil through Strait of Hormuz. So what if they do? Then Arab oil will not get to Europe and Japan. So what? The world price of oil will rise and we will pay more for our energy. This is true in the very short term, but since there is presently a vast glut of oil in the

See GULF, Page 2



It took only one Exocet missile to disable the $376 million USS Stark.

# White Liberalism Dissolves in South Africa

Prior to the Whites-only election in South Africa held on May 7, 1987 one would have thought that liberalism was on the rise in that country-- at least this is the impression one got from all of the American mass media outlets. But, as usual, the propaganda organs of the System were wrong. Despite the fact that all the major media companies have correspondents on the gound in South Africa, they completely misread the mood of the White population. Instead of portraying White views accurately, they distorted those views, no doubt in the hope that they could convince Whites in South Africa that majority-rule was the Wave of the Future and thereby encourage acquiescence to it.

But the election results demonstrated not acquiesence to the Capitalist and Communist pressure but remarkable defiance. The now reform-minded National Party, which once unabashedly supported Apartheid, lost nearly half of its Afrikaner strength to the Conservative Party which advocates the division of South Africa into separate White, Black and Colored nations. The Conservative Party nearly tripled its support to gain 24% of the White vote. The National Party maintained its majority position with 53% of the vote, but only because the English section of the population bolted en masse from the liberal Progressive Federal Party to the National Party.

This crushing defeat for the PFP marks the death knell of liberalism in South Africa. Even the decadent Anglo-Saxons now seem to realize that thier fate in a Black-ruled nation would not be pleasant, or

See SOUTH AFRICA, Page 8

# US Serves Israel in Gulf

From Page 1

world, the market would adjust rapidly with Mexico, Venezuela, and Nigeria making up the difference and prices would drop once again. In fact, it would seem that this is just the scenario we would want because the above three countries are all now about to default on their international loans and this could cause the collapse of the American and perhaps the world banking system. Unless these countries can sell more oil, default is inevitable.

But the Reagan Administration does not see it this way. It does not want Arab oil cut off or even Iranian oil cut off as that would force a quick end to the Iran-Iraq War. But, you say, Reagan claims to want an end to the war. Then why does he oppose the only thing that can end the war quickly - the strangulation of oil traffic which would cause both Arab and Iranian funds to dry up virtually overnight?

The leader of Syria, Hafez Assad, gave us the answer when he said that the U.S. has no foreign policy in the Middle East - Israel has a policy which the U.S. executes. Israel's policy is to keep the Iran-Iraq War going as long as possible as it saps the resources of both the Arabs and the Iranians, both peoples being enemies of Israel. So when it looks like Iran needs help to stay in the war, Israel engineers U.S. arms sales to that country, telling the gullible Americans that in this way they can help the Iranian "moderates" and get their hostages back. When it appears that Iraq is on the ropes, the Israelis suggest that it would be a good idea for America to escort Arab shipping through the Gulf so that Iran could not sink it, or that it would be a good idea for the U.S. to give the Iraqis satellite intelligence on an upcoming Iranian offensive. American leaders cannot seem to find the will to resist Jewish suggestions.

The Jews suggested that we put our Marines into Lebanon to help separate the contending Arab and Jewish forces there and the result was 241 soldiers dead and another ignominious American retreat. The Jews suggested that we play an active role in keeping Gulf shipping open and the result was the loss of 37 sailors and the USS Stark. As long as the plutocrats control our country we will never have a policy in the Middle East that serves the interests of America.

**TACTICAL QUESTIONS**

At the tactical level much attention has been focused on the Sharp and its commander Captain Glenn Brindel for not resisting the attack. But the real question is why did the task force not fight? The Stark was part of a three ship task force. One of the other ships was 37 miles away and well within radar tracking distance of both the Stark and the Iraqi warplane. Why did it not warn the Stark that a missile had been launched against it. The third ship was in port. Why were the ships not sailing together in close formation in order to provide mutual support for one another? After all, they were in a warzone. The task force commander, not Capt. Brindell, was responsible for this foolish disposition.

It is obvious that the task force was taken completely by surprise, and that it was not prepared to respond quickly or flexibly to an unexpected attack. This is because it was operating in a war zone, but not on a war footing as per orders from Washington. Nevertheless, in the tradition of the American Armed Forces someone will be offered as a scapegoat, and that person will be Capt. Brindel. All will be blamed on his negligence and in that way Washington can deflect strategic questions and the Navy can deflect tactical questions. And you wonder why our officers are so reluctant to take risks.

The disabling of the Stark is just the latest example of the offensive revolution that the missile has wrought in naval warfare. In the Falklands War, the Argentine Air Force possessed only five Exocets, yet two of these sea skimming missiles were able to hit their targets, one destroyer and one big cargo ship, and destroy them both. Considering the price of an Exocet as versus a destroyer that is an excellent ratio. Not only were the Exocets able to penetrate British air defenses, but the Argentines in an incredible feat of courage were able to fly their jet aircraft through the long range anti-aircraft missile defenses of the British Navy, and through the short range missile defenses, and through the flak guns to drop iron bombs onto the British ships. This was thought to have been impossible, yet the Argentines put bombs inside half of the warships of the British fleet. Fortunately for the Brits, the bombs were American made and nearly 70% failed to explode, otherwise it would have been the British Navy rather than the Argentine Air Force that would have admitted defeat.

The ability of modern attack missiles to penetrate naval defenses is so great, and the explosive power of the modern warhead so enormous that we are rapidly approaching the point where one missile fired means one ship destroyed. This one shot-one kill situation calls into question the very structure of our Navy which relies on large, very expensive ships ($376 million for the Stark). These ships are sitting ducks for well planned, coordinated attacks. For example, what if four warplanes fired four different types of missiles at a four ship task force. One missile would be a heat seeker, another a radar seeker that would home in on a ship's radar, another would use radar to find its target and another would use a TV camera to help the airplane's pilot to find the target. Could our defenses really defeat such a combined attack. The answer is no.

In a one shot-one kill environment the only navy that can survive is one that is based on a large number of highly maneuverable, extremely fast hydrofoil or air-cushion missile ships, and not one based on a small number of slow and heavy conventional ships. The heavy armored cavalry of the Teutonic Knights was long the scourge of Europe, until the 12th Century when the Germans had to fight the Mongol horsearchers on their tiny, but quick, unarmored ponies. Not one of those brave but foolish knights lived to tell of their folly. Some military men need such a disaster to put their thinking on the right track - it took Pearl Harbor to do that for us 45 years ago. What will it take this time?GG

# The Enemies of Our Blood

**by Gary Gallo**

I love good movies, and I see them as the most important of modern art forms. Yet, as I walk out of a theater after watching a movie, I usually feel disappointed. Whether this is because the film industry is so dominated by Jews that most of the basic themes are alien and repugnant to me, or just because it is a fact of life that most art is bad art, I cannot say. But most movies are not worth seeing and I truly treasure the few that are. **Hoosiers** is one of those few.

It is about the true story of the 1952 Indiana high school basketball season. Basketball is considered the game of the gods in Indiana, and the spirits of small towns all across the state rise and fall according to the game records of their local high schools. In 1952 one small town high school of barely 60 students fielded a team that was able to compete with the largest schools in the state. **Hoosiers** is the story of that small town team.

The team consisted of eight players. All were White and none were very big. Yet they struggled to the state championship game. Little was mentioned in the movie about the team they would face in the championship game, except that it was said that the team was big and was from a city. The biggest arena the small-town team had played in seated 800 people. Now they were to play the championship on a professional court.

As they walked down the tunnel into the arena they felt and saw the 15,000 screaming fans that packed the place. And then they saw the other team for the first time. Yes, they were big-- and they were all Black. As the camera glimpsed the Black team for the first time, the crowd in the theater I sat in physically shuddered and audibly hissed as if to say,"Here are the enemies of our blood!" This surprised me and I made it a point to study the crowd as it filed out of the theater when the movie ended.

The audience was made up of typical Washington yuppies, and no doubt each one of them would deny that he was racist. Yet in the dark of that theater they had reacted automatically and naturally.

We are preaching what our people believe deep inside them. Eventually, they will have the courage to come to grips with their true nature. We must stick to the truth and hammer them with it, and when the house of cards collapses they will remember who was honest and who was not.GG

**The audience hissed as if to say, "Here are the enemies of our blood!"**

# An Introduction to the NDF- OnVideotape

This VHS videotape is of a meeting of the **National Democratic Front** that took place in Washington, D.C. on March 22, 1987. It features speeches by Matt Malone and Gary Gallo and provides an excellent overview of what the NDF and Democratic Nationalism are all about. An informative and unedited question and answer session follow the speeches as Gary Gallo fields comments and questions from the audience.

To order this introductory videotape (VHS only) send $12.00 to: The Naionalist, Suite L290, 444 N. frederick Ave., Gaithersburg, MD 20877.

# Justice Drowns in a Pool of Legal Corruption

The lawyers for Texaco feigned outrage when they found out that a lawyer for Pennzoil had contributed $10,000 to the reelection campaign of the judge who was hearing Pennzoil's $11 billion suit against Texaco. The moral sensibilities of the Texaco lawyers did not, however, prevent them from then contributing $72,700 to seven Texas Supreme Court judges who would make final disposition of the case on appeal. They were once again mortified to find that Pennzoil lawyers contributed $315,000 to Texas Supreme Court judges including four who were not even up for reelection. Corruption? Obviously. Illegal? Not at all. Like most System corruption it is institutionalized and perfectly legal.

Thirty four states hold elections for judges and in each of those states lawyers account for the bulk of campaign contributions though this is changing somewhat. With judges now actively creating law and instituting new governmental programs such as forced busing, prison building, etc. the special interests have become much more involved in the process of judge buying. But the financial interests of lawyers is on the line before these judges on a daily basis so naturally it is attorneys who contribute the most in order to protect those interests. They, of course, claim that they give such vast sums out of their deep commitment to the "democratic" process and out of their love for the "American Way." And indeed, most lawyers do love the present "American Way" as they have shaped the laws of this country to serve themselves. We will see how much they like it when Democratic Nationalism puts an end to their hypocrisy.

**BAR ASSOCIATIONS DOMINATE**

One might think that the answer to judge buying is to eliminate elections in favor of an appointment process. But in the sixteen states that use the appointive process solely, judges are still politicians and are still dominated by lawyers and by the will of the organized bar associations which nominate them and approve them. Not only must the judge serve the interests of his fellow lawyers if he wants keep his position or advance, but he most also serve the interests of the political party that makes the appointment.

It would seem that since lawyers are on both sides of every issue that the contributions or influence of plaintiff lawyers would tend to neutralize the contributions and influence of defendant lawyers. This is true in some areas of the civil law where the resources of plaintiff attorneys and defendant attorneys are roughly equal, but it is absolutely untrue in the criminal law. Why? Because there are no



plaintiff attorneys in the criminal law. There are prosecutors and there are defendant lawyers, but almost all prosecutors eventually plan to become defendant attorneys, and they use the government merely as a stepping stone to that end. The organized bar is completely dominated by defendant attorneys who want the judges to be as lenient as possible on their criminal clients. Judges give credence to the most specious arguments by defense counsels and then these become precedent and thus law. And if a judge does not please defense lawyers, then he must engage in a constant, draining struggle with the bar to maintain his judgeship, and the bar almost always wins.

**LAWYERS LOVE THE LENIENT**

This explains why it is that criminals are treated so lightly by the courts - why the average sentence of a murderer is just 12 years, the average sentence of a rapist just five years and why a man who rapes his victim and then cuts off her arms can get out on parole after just 7 years as recently happened in California. Why is it that judges to do not share our outrage? Why is that that they do not identify with the victim, the victim's friends and family, and the community? Because the judge was once a defense attorney and he knows that his welfare is dependent on the will of defense lawyers. In a plutocratic society it is a mistake to try to look at philosophies and outlooks to try to understand the motivations of leaders. In a plutocracy the scum rather than the cream rises to the top, so all you have to do is trace the money flow and you will understand the real motivations in this society's leaders.

Justice is what people think it should be. If the people in a particular society think that a man should have have his hand cut off for stealing a piece of bubblegum then justice will only be done when the criminal's hand is severed from his arm. Justice is a psychological and emotional concept that has little to do with deterrence and much to do with retribution. If the state does not give proper retribution to the victim, the victim's family, or the outraged community, then support for the government diminishes and soon enough the people will take the matter of justice into their own hands. And if the state prevents them from doing so then it will not be long before you will be able to sniff revolution in the air.

This is what we see happening in America today. The people have lost their faith in the justice system and vigilantism is becoming more and more prevalent. The Guardian Angels can now put hundreds of their uniformed but unarmed members into the New York City subway system to protect travelers because the government has failed to fulfill its first duty - to provide phsyical security for its citizenry. It will not be long before we see vigilante courts of justice because the people have completely lost faith in the goodwill of its government. Do judges realize this? Yes. Do they care? No, because it does not affect them directly and will not affect them directly until

the National Democratic Front is in a position to explain their fate to them. And by then it will likely be too late for them to save themselves.

**NATIONAL DEMOCRATIC JUSTICE**

The U.S. has more lawyers per capita than any other nation in the world, and predictably it is the most litigious country on earth. In a legal system based on advocacy lawyers seek to promote conflict and animosity in order to make their services more necessary and valuable. Further, they set up "ethical standards" which say that their first duty is not to the truth but to their client, and this of course dovetails nicely with their financial interests. A guilty client is not going to pay much for an attorney who is determined to find out if he is guilty or not, and turn him in if he is. If attorneys were obligated to the truth first then the guilty would spin a web of lies for their attorney and the courts, and eventually would entrap themselves in that web. But no, that would make too much sense - better to do what is in the financial interests of lawyers.

The purpose of law is to guide the behavior of the people. How can it achieve its objective if the laws are so massive, complex and obscure that the people can neither know the law nor understand it. Under the NDF, the laws will will be placed in one legal code, and they willl be unified, clarified and simplified such that all can read the code, understand it and use it. There will be no lawyers. The judicial system will be run by a corps of professional judges who will be trained, strangely enough, as judges rather than as lawyers. These judges will start out as arbitrators (as most disputes will be settled by arbitration) and will work their up through the system until they are capable of handling complex civil and criminal trials. They will be aided by investigative units that will do research and detective work to help the judge establish the truth.

The judiciary will be under the administration of the elected leader of the nation and its rulings will reflect his vision of justice, not that of the judges, as his is more likely in tune with the mood of the people. The head of the nation will seek to bring coherence and stabilty to the work of the judges such that rulings are predictable and in line with the general beliefs of the people in regard to matters of justice. In questions of ideology it will be the philosophy of the political leader that will prevail and not that of the judges as the purpose of law is to serve the political will and not vice versa. The law is not an end in and of itself as is implied in that banal cliche "We are a nation of laws, not men." In fact, **men** create the law, **men** interpret the law, and **men** enforce the law according to the political objectives that they seek to achieve. If the law fails to help us accomplish our political goals then it is worse than useless. **GG**


# SUBSCRIBE

# TO THE NATIONALIST

Let us mail **The Nationalist** directly to you each month. Send your subscription to: The Nationalist, Suite L-290, 444 N. Frederick Avenue, Gaithersburg, MD 20877.

Name:_____

Address:_____

_____

_____

Subscription Rates: USA $12 for 12 issues; Overseas $15 International Money Order for 12 issues.


**DISTRIBUTE THE NATIONALIST**

**One of the most important things that you can do to support the White Nationalist Cause is to sell or distribute copies of The Nationalist. You can purchase current issues at the following rates:**

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 2 | copies | $1.00 |
| 5 | copies | $2.25 |
| 10 | copies | $4.00 |
| 20 | copies | $7.00 |
| 50 | copies | $15.00 |
| 100 | copies | $25.00 |

**Make check payable to The Nationalist and specify which current issue you are ordering.**

# LETTERS

Dear Friends,

Enclosed is my subscription renewal for another year of **The Nationalist**.... I continue to be very impressed with the caliber and content of your publication and the veracity of your ideas. Please continue your efforts on behalf of our race.

In Unity,
David Canaday

Dear National Democratic Front,

Hail Skrewdriver! I have been listening for quite some time to a Skrewdriver tape that I ordered through Romantic Violence. While the quality of the recording is not that good the music is. I really think you have a great asset here that you are not utilizing. Even people who are not racialists or nationalists give a favorable response to the music. The snappy rock songs of Skrewdriver would surely be appreciated by younger people and would draw them into the Movement. You might try to arrange to sell them through The Nationalist. I would also like to see an American band play and record some of the songs of Skrewdriver. With slight lyrical changes they could just as well be singing about America not Great Britain. I especially get a kick from their rock rendition of the German folk song Tommorrow Belongs to Me.

While I do not agree with your solutions to America's problems (as I stated in a previous letter), I would much rather live under a government controlled by you people than the money barons, media masters, and their puppets (our elected officials). In any case you people ask the right questions and are very informative.

Sincerely yours,
George Streicher

Dear Mr. Gallo,

I've just read a copy of your publication The Nationalist. I was very impressed with the quality of it. We have a problem digging up new facts in the Movement. There are too many reprints of other's articles in our publications and too little investigative reporting.

I've been involved in the "right-wing" for about seven years now. I'm currently serving 100 years in a federal penitentiary for my beliefs. Its okay; I don't feel badly because I know I did the right thing....

I'm a Christian and so I differ with you on some really important things, but sending me The Nationalist wouldn't be like you'd be aiding and abetting the enemy. Good luck in your endeavors. May Yahweh bless you.

In His Service,
Randy Duey

**Editor - Mr. Duey was a member of The Order.**

Revolutionary Comrade Gary Gallo!

I recently got my hands on The Nationalist Vol.3, No.2 and I think it is the best nationalist paper from America today.

Revolutionary Nationalism is getting stronger in Sweden all the time, and our paper-sale in Stockholm is expanding all the time. We now sell NF News, Nationalism Today, White Noise, Ung Front (Sweden Party youth paper), Streetfight (a Swedish skinhead paper), and several more things weekly there. And I now want to sell The Nationalist and New America as well....

National Revolutionary Greetings,
Peter Rindell

## NEW AMERICA - The Radical Voice of American Nationalism




The NDF's journal **New America** is a radically new type of nationalist publication. It provides an ideological arsenal against the distortions and misconceptions spread by the government and the media. **New America** presents a new world-view based on racial and national realities, and provides alternatives to the materialism of both capitalism and communism. The reader is given an in-depth and straightforward analysis of what nationalism means for America. This journal provides working answers to the problems that plague our Race and Nation.

This edition (No. 2) includes:
- **On Nationalism**: America's Way, forging the new revolutionary ideal.
- **Looking Ahead**: A vision of America's future.
- **Jefferson's Notes**: Thomas Jefferson's thoughts on race are recovered from the Memory Hole.
- **The New Racialism**: Why the races must live and develop separately.

To order your copy of **New America** No. 2 send $2.00 to:
The Nationalist, Suite L290, 444 N. Frederick Avenue, Gaithersburg, Maryland, 20877.

# SHORT BURSTS

### Gorbachev the Racialist?

Mikhail Gorbachev, addressing a group of U.S. Congressmen in Moscow in April, said that America should solve its racial problems by setting up autonomous homelands for Blacks and other minorities. There are two interesting aspects to this event: 1) in typical Communist hypocrisy, the leader of the Soviet Union failed to see the logic in his statement for his own multi-racial empire, and 2) for some strange reason the "American" press did not rake Gorbachev over the coals for his racism as it did the leader of Japan just a few months ago.

### Japan Studies the Jews

One of the few countries in the world where the Jewish Question is still studied openly is Japan. As the Japanese have come to be more and more involved in international business and international politics they have come to feel the power of the Jews, and they seek to understand how it works. Needless to say, this has greatly upset the Jews who have been able to shut down such writing in Europe and America since the end of the Second World War.

Members of the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith met recently in Washington with the Japanese Ambassador to protest the rise of anti-Semitic literature in Japan, as the Jews consider all study of them that is not done by Jews to be anti-Semitic. And they are correct in this as any objective observer must sooner or later become anti-Semitic if he studies the Jews long enough. Ambassador Nobuo Matsunaga brushed them off, but the Jews stepped up the pressure by getting some of their puppets (two U.S. Congressmen) to demand that Prime Minister Yasuhiro Nakasone take a stand against anti-Semitic literature. Matsunaga replied to the politicians that Japan opposes discrimination of any kind but also upholds freedom of expression. Freedom of speech is the last thing that the Jews want to see, and no doubt they will do all in their enormous power to stir up an anti-Japanese agitation in this country until Japan begs them to call it off. And then the Jews will attempt to lay down the law to the Japanese. Will the Japanese be able to withstand such pressure? Unlikely, but at least then they will know that their writings are accurate.

### America - The Promised Land

As Nietszche once wrote, "The Jews are arrogant in victory and cringing in defeat," and this causes them to always overplay their hand when they are on top. We see this happening now in our country with the Jewish dominated press constantly glorifying the "achievements" of Jewry and vilifying the enemies of the Jews with endless hate propaganda. But a very significant article was published in the Outlook section of the **Washington Post** on March 8th, 1987 that indicates that the Jews are now so confident of their power over this country that they are about ready to take the mask off. No longer are they even going to pretend that they are here to assimilate. The article makes clear that they see assimilation as the greatest of threats to their race. This position has been often stated openly in Israel, for example by the Minister for Religious Education in 1985, but never before in America.

The folowing excerpts from the **Post** opinion piece entitled **Is America the Promised Land for Jews** by Jacob Neusner speak for themselves:

"If ever there was a promised land we Jewish Americans are living it. Here Jews have flourished, not alone in politics and the economy, but in matters of art, culture and learning. Jews feel safe and secure here.... And they have found an authentically Jewish voice - their own voice - for their vision of themselves."

"Meanwhile, the story goes, we're all assimilating; we've stopped being Jewish [according to the Israelis]."

"First, are we assimilating? I think not. We're changing. But change is not assimilation."

"The immigrant generations of Jews built good lives in America, and their great grandchildren are still Jewish.... Every social study has turned up strong evidence of Jews' communal cohesiveness."

### Germans Continue to Pay the Jews

The West German Finance Ministry has for the first time released figures on how much the country has paid to Jews and Israel thus far in war reparation payments. Up through 1986 the West German occupation government has forked over **$30 billion** and is still paying through the nose even though the majority of German taxpayers were not even alive during the war. That should give you some idea of what a historical lie is worth.

### Hutton Chief Cashes In

Robert Fomon, who headed E.F. Hutton Group Inc. during the worst financial scandal of the company's history, retired recently with a package of benefits that included a $4 million cash payment. Fomon claimed that he did not know of the massive check-kiting scheme that Hutton used to steal millions year after year, and he refused to take responsibility for it even though he ran the company at the time. Who says crime doesn't pay?

### Grim Trend in Violent Crime

Last month the Justice Department released an analysis that showed that if current crime rates continue five out of every six 12 year olds will be the victims or intended victims of violent crime. And half will be victimized more than once. During a 20 year period 7 out of 10 households will be burglarized at least once. Everyone will be the victim of a personal theft at least once with 7 out of 8 being victimized 3 or more times. And this is only the reported crime - half of all violent crimes go unreported. In the land of the free you must be brave. GG

# South Africa

From Page 1

perhaps they just want more time to arrange their affairs to get out of the country. In any case, White Nationalism has made its mark and is now a force to be contended with in South Africa. Moreover, the make-up of the National Party, now being half-English and half-Afrikaner, will undermine its once formidable unity and cohesion, and this bodes well for its ultimate demise. In the next election we may very well see White Nationalists take control of a government for the first time in recent history.GG

**Andries Treurnicht**
**Leader of the Conservative Party.**

**The Nationalist welcomes manuscripts of articles for possible publication, as well as Letters to the Editor. No payment is made for articles published and they become copyright of The Nationalist. The Editor reserves the right to amend articles. No one may reprint articles from The Nationalist without the Editor's permission.**

**Gary Gallo, Editor**
**Matt Malone, Asst. Editor**
**Robert Landt, Graphics**

# TRUTH AND BEAUTY

**The search for truth** and the creation of beauty were the primary ideals of our ancient European ancestors. But after two thousand years of decadence we seem to hardly remember our true nature. Now words are used as weapons to accomplish an end rather than as tools to reveal reality. Whether or not the words express the truth is considered incidental - not really important at all. Some have accepted the Jewish view that there is no objective truth - that all things are relative - that ethics are situational. Others take the Christian approach and claim that they know the truth because they feel it in their hearts - and they do not bother to consider that there are people just as sincere who believe just the opposite. The Jewish and Christian churches have always seen the search for truth as the enemy of Faith. We do not.

**Unlike a religion,** a political ideology must test itself against the facts. It must operate in the real world in order to take power, and in order to achieve greatness once in control. We cannot retreat into the "Mysteries of the Faith" to justify our actions or beliefs. We must constantly seek to dispel the fog of prejudice and to see the world as it really is. If we have the courage to be honest with ourselves, then we will have the strength to make the world beautiful once again. **This is the mission of the National Democratic Front.** We leave what comes before life and after life to religion, but what happens to the White Race in this world is the sphere of the NDF and we give this up to no one.

**Many of our ancestors** chose to die rather than to renounce the truth when that truth threatened the ruling class. We Democratic Nationalists shall be worthy of our ancient ancestors in whom we find our inspiration. We too shall risk death to preach the truth. But in the end the truth will prevail, and it will be our enemies who taste the hemlock.

For more information write to: National Democratic Front, Suite L290, 444 N. Frederick Ave., Gaithersburg, MD 20877: (301) 670-0159.



*"The Death of Socrates," by Jacques-Louis David*